arXiv:1702.03486v1 [math.CA] 12 Feb 2017

NORM OF THE HAUSDORFF OPERATOR ON THE REAL HARDY SPACE $H^1(\mathbb{R})$

HA DUY HUNG, LUONG DANG KY, AND THAI THUAN QUANG

Abstract. Let φ be a nonnegative integrable function on $(0,\infty)$. It is well-known that the Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ generated by φ is bounded on the real Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. The aim of this paper is to give the exact norm of $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$. More precisely, we prove that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt.$$

1. Introduction and main result

Let φ be a locally integrable function on $(0,\infty)$. The *Hausdorff operator* $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is defined for suitable functions f by

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi f(x) = \int_0^\infty f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt.$$

The Hausdorff operator is an interesting operator in harmonic analysis. There are many classical operators in analysis which are special cases of the Hausdorff operator if one chooses suitable kernel functions φ, such as the classical Hardy operator, its adjoint operator, the Cesàro type operators, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator,... See the survey article [7] and the references therein. In the recent years, there is an increasing interest on the study of boundedness of the Hausdorff operator on the real Hardy spaces, see for example [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Let Φ be a function in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Phi(x)dx \neq 0$. Set $\Phi_t(x) := t^{-1}\Phi(x/t)$. Following Fefferman and Stein [5, 13], we define the *real Hardy space* $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ as the space of functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} := \|M_\Phi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

where $M_\Phi f$ is the *smooth maximal function* of f defined by

$$M_\Phi f(x) = \sup_{t>0}|f * \Phi_t(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B38 (42B30).

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff operator, Hardy space, Hilbert transform, maximal function, holomorphic function.

Remark that $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ defines a norm on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, whose size depends on the choice of Φ, but the space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ does not depend on this choice.

Let φ be a nonnegative function in $L^1_{\rm loc}(0,\infty)$. Although, it was shown in [4] that $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\varphi \in L^1(0,\infty)$, the exact norm $\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ is still unknown.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let φ be a nonnegative function in $L^1(0,\infty)$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt.$$

In Theorem 1.1, it should be pointed out that the norm of the Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ($\int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt$) does not depend on the choice of the above function Φ. Moreover, it still holds when the above norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ is replaced by

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} := \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|H(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})},$$

where $H(f)$ is the *Hilbert transform* H of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$H(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\ \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f(x-y)}{y}dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

See the last section for details.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\varphi \in L^1(0,\infty)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, moreover,*

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt\right| \le \|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_0^\infty |\varphi(t)|dt.$$

Throughout the whole article, we denote by C a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \le CB$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, then we write $A \sim B$. For any $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by χ_E its characteristic function.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let P be the Poisson kernel on $\mathbb{R}$, that is, $P(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $t > 0$, set $P_t(x) := \frac{t}{x^2+t^2}$. The *Poisson maximal function* $M_P f$ of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is then defined by

$$M_P f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |P_t * f(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}_+$ be the upper half-plane in the complex plane. The Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1_a(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is defined as the set of all holomorphic functions F on $\mathbb{C}_+$ such that

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_a(\mathbb{C}_+)} := \sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x+iy)|dx < \infty.$$

The following two lemmas are classical and can be found in [3, 6, 13].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.
(ii) $H(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.
(iii) $M_P f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, in that case,

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|H(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|M_P f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F \in \mathcal{H}^1_a(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Then the boundary value function f of F, which is defined by*

$$f(x) = \lim_{y\to 0} F(x+iy), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_a(\mathbb{C}_+)}$$

and $F(x+iy) = P_y * f(x)$ for all $x+iy \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we also need the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let φ be a nonnegative function in $L^1(0,\infty)$. Then*

(i) *$\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, moreover,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt.$$

(ii) *If supp $\varphi \subset [0,1]$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^1 \varphi(t)dt.$$

Proof. (i) For any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Phi(\mathcal{H}_\varphi f)(x) &= \sup_{r>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r}\Phi\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) f\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt \right| \\ &= \sup_{r>0} \left| \int_0^\infty \Phi_{r/t} * f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \mathcal{H}_\varphi(M_\Phi f)(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \|M_\Phi(\mathcal{H}_\varphi f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_0^\infty M_\Phi f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt\\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt\|M_\Phi(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, moreover,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ be arbitrary. By (2.1), we see that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t)dt = \int_\delta^1 \varphi(t)dt < \infty$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi - \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_0^\infty [\varphi(t)-\varphi_\delta(t)]dt = \int_0^\delta \varphi(t)dt < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\varphi_\delta(t) := \varphi(t)\chi_{[\delta,1]}(t)$ for all $t \in (0,\infty)$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the function $F_\varepsilon : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{1}{(z+i)^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

where $\zeta^{1+\varepsilon} = |\zeta|^{1+\varepsilon}e^{i(1+\varepsilon)\arg\zeta}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|F_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_a(\mathbb{C}_+)} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{1+\varepsilon}}dx < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

where f_ε is the boundary value function of F_ε.

For all $z = x+iy \in \mathbb{C}_+$, by the Fubini theorem and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$P_y * \Big(\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}(f_\varepsilon) - f_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t)dt\Big)(x)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\frac{z}{t}+i)^{1+\varepsilon}} \frac{\varphi_\delta(t)}{t} dt - \frac{1}{(z+i)^{1+\varepsilon}} \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t) dt \\
&= \int_\delta^1 [\phi_{\varepsilon,z}(t) - \phi_{\varepsilon,z}(1)] \varphi(t) dt,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{\varepsilon,z}(t) := \frac{t^\varepsilon}{(z+ti)^{1+\varepsilon}}$. For any $t \in [\delta, 1]$, a simple calculus gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi_{\varepsilon,z}(t) - \phi_{\varepsilon,z}(1)| &\leq |t-1| \sup_{s\in[\delta,1]} |\phi'_{\varepsilon,z}(s)| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon\delta^{-2}}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{1+\varepsilon}} + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\delta^{-2}}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{2+\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\| \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}(f_\varepsilon) - f_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t) dt \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \left\| M_P\Big(\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}(f_\varepsilon) - f_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t) dt \Big) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \int_\delta^1 \varphi(t) dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left[\frac{\varepsilon\delta^{-2}}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{1+\varepsilon}} + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\delta^{-2}}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{2+\varepsilon}} \right] dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (2.3), yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\big\| \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}(f_\varepsilon) - f_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t) dt \big\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\|f_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}} \\
\lesssim\ & \int_\delta^1 \varphi(t) dt \left[\varepsilon\delta^{-2} + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\delta^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx}{\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+1}^{1+\varepsilon}} dx} \right] \to 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. As a consequence,

$$
\int_\delta^1 \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\delta(t) dt \leq \|\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\delta}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})}.
$$

This, combined with (2.2), allows us to conclude that

$$
\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \geq \int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt - 2 \int_0^\delta \varphi(t) dt \to \int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt
$$

as $\delta \to 0$ since $\int_0^1 \varphi(t)dt < \infty$. Hence, by (2.1),

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^1 \varphi(t)dt.$$

□

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $m > 0$, we define $\varphi_m(t) = \varphi(mt)\chi_{(0,1]}(t)$ for all $t \in (0,\infty)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)}\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_0^\infty \varphi_m\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)dt = \int_0^m \varphi(t)dt < \infty$$

and

$$\left\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi - \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)}\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_0^\infty \left[\varphi(t) - \varphi_m\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)\right]dt = \int_m^\infty \varphi(t)dt < \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Noting that

$$\left\|f\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = m\|f(\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)}f = \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m}f\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)$$

for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)}\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = m\|\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_m}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = m\int_0^1 \varphi(mt)dt = \int_0^m \varphi(t)dt.$$

Combining this with (2.5) allows us to conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} \ge \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt - 2\int_m^\infty \varphi(t)dt \to \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt$$

as $m \to \infty$ since $\int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt < \infty$. Hence, by (2.4),

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})\to H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt,$$

which ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

□

3. Appendix

The main purpose of this section is to show that the norm of the Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ in Theorem 1.1 ($\int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt$) still holds even when one replaces $\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|M_\Phi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ by some other equivalent norms on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Such norms can be defined via the nontangential maximal functions, atoms, the Hilbert transform,... See Stein's book [13].

Let ψ be a function in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)dx \neq 0$; or be the Poisson kernel P on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define the *nontangential maximal function* $\mathcal{M}_\psi f$ of f by

$$\mathcal{M}_\psi f(x) = \sup_{|x-y|<t} |\psi_t * f(y)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A function a is called an H^1-atom related to the interval B if

- supp $a \subset B$;
- $\|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq |B|^{-1}$;
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x)dx = 0$.

We define the Hardy space $H^1_{\text{at}}(\mathbb{R})$ as the space of functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ which can be written as $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ with a_j's are H^1-atoms and λ_j's are complex numbers satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| < \infty$. The norm on $H^1_{\text{at}}(\mathbb{R})$ is then defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^1_{\text{at}}(\mathbb{R})} := \inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| : f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j\right\}.$$

The following is classical and can be found in Stein's book [13].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.
(ii) $\mathcal{M}_\psi f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.
(iii) $f \in H^1_{\text{at}}(\mathbb{R})$.
(iv) $H(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, in that case,

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|\mathcal{M}_\psi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|f\|_{H^1_{\text{at}}(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|H(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The main aim of this section is to establish the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let φ be a nonnegative function in $L^1(0,\infty)$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)\to(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_*$ is one of the four norms in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. By the proofs of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 1.1, we see that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)\to(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)} \geq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt$$

for any norm of the four norms in Theorem 3.1. So, it suffices to show

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)\to(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt. \tag{3.1}$$

Case 1: $\|f\|_* = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|H(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$. For any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$\|H(\mathcal{H}_\varphi f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathcal{H}_\varphi(H(f))\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt \|H(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

by [8, Theorems 1 and 3]. This implies that (3.1) holds.

Case 2: $\|f\|_* = \|f\|_{H^1_{\rm at}(\mathbb{R})}$. Denote by $BMO(\mathbb{R})$ the John-Nirenberg space (see [13]) with the norm

$$\|g\|_{BMO} := \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \Big|g(x) - \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B g(y)dy\Big| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $B \subset \mathbb{R}$. It is well-known that $BMO(\mathbb{R})$ is the dual space of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, moreover,

$$\|g\|_{BMO} = \sup_{\|f\|_*\leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx \right|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|f\|_* \leq 1$. Therefore, by [1, Theorem 3] and a standard functional analysis argument,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)\to(H^1(\mathbb{R}),\|\cdot\|_*)} = \|\mathcal{H}^*_\varphi\|_{BMO\to BMO} \leq \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt,$$

where $\mathcal{H}^*_\varphi$ is the conjugated operator of $\mathcal{H}^*_\varphi$ defined on $BMO(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathcal{H}^*_\varphi g(x) := \int_0^\infty g(tx)\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Case 3: $\|f\|_* = \|\mathcal{M}_\psi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$. For any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, the Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_\psi(\mathcal{H}_\varphi f)(x) &= \sup_{|y-x|<r}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r}\psi\left(\frac{y-z}{r}\right) f\left(\frac{z}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt\right| \\ &= \sup_{|y-x|<r}\left|\int_0^\infty \psi_{r/t} * f\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt\right| \\ &\le \mathcal{H}_\varphi(\mathcal{M}_\psi f)(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{H}_\varphi f\|_* &= \|\mathcal{M}_\psi(\mathcal{H}_\varphi f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\le \|\mathcal{H}_\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})\to L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|\mathcal{M}_\psi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt\|f\|_*,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (3.1) holds, and thus ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.

□

Finally, we give a new proof for a known result (see [4, Theorem 1.2]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let φ be a nonnegative function in $L^1_{\rm loc}(0,\infty)$ satisfying that $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\varphi \in L^1(0,\infty)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the following function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{(x^2+1)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ since $f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $H(f)(x) = \frac{x^2-1}{2(x^2+1)^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\frac{x}{t}}{\left[(\frac{x}{t})^2+1\right]^2}\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt$$

is in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \frac{y}{(y^2+1)^2}dy \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)dt &= \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty \frac{\frac{x}{t}}{\left[(\frac{x}{t})^2+1\right]^2}\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}dt \\ &\le \|\mathcal{H}_\varphi f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_\varphi f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

this implies that $\varphi \in L^1(0,\infty)$.

□

Acknowledgements. The paper was completed when the authors was visiting to Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM). We would like to thank the VIASM for financial support and hospitality.

References

[1] K. F. Andersen, Boundedness of Hausdorff operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), no. 1-2, 409-418.

[2] J. Chen, D. Fan and X. Zhu, The Hausdorff operator on the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Acta Math. Hungar. 150 (2016), no. 1, 142–152.

[3] P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38 Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.

[4] D. Fan and X. Lin, Hausdorff operator on real Hardy spaces. Analysis (Berlin) 34 (2014), no. 4, 319–337.

[5] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables. Acta Math. 129 (1972), no. 3-4, 137–193.

[6] J. B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions. Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.

[7] E. Liflyand, Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces. Eurasian Math. J. 4 (2013), no. 4, 101–141.

[8] E. Liflyand and F. Móricz, The Hausdorff operator is bounded on the real Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 5, 1391–1396.

[9] E. Liflyand and F. Móricz, The multi-parameter Hausdorff operator is bounded on the product Hardy space $H^{11}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. Analysis (Munich) 21 (2001), no. 2, 107–118.

[10] E. Liflyand and F. Móricz, Commuting relations for Hausdorff operators and Hilbert transforms on real Hardy spaces. Acta Math. Hungar. 97 (2002), no. 1-2, 133–143.

[11] F. Móricz, Multivariate Hausdorff operators on the spaces $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Anal. Math. 31 (2005), no. 1, 31–41.

[12] J. Ruan and D. Fan, Hausdorff operators on the power weighted Hardy spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 433 (2016), no. 1, 31–48.

[13] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

High School for Gifted Students, Hanoi National University of Education, 136 Xuan Thuy, Hanoi, Vietnam

E-mail address: hunghaduy@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Quy Nhon University, 170 An Duong Vuong, Quy Nhon, Binh Dinh, Viet Nam

E-mail address: luongdangky@qnu.edu.vn

Department of Mathematics, Quy Nhon University, 170 An Duong Vuong, Quy Nhon, Binh Dinh, Viet Nam

E-mail address: thaithuanquang@qnu.edu.vn